### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

659 E. Clementine Street	: ·
Philadelphia, PA 19134	· :
Plaintiff,	: CIVIL ACTION :
v.	: No.:
WHOLE FOODS MARKET GROUP, INC. 550 Bowie Street Austin, TX 78703	: : : JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
Defendant.	: :

#### **CIVIL ACTION COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

### I. Introduction

Robert Greene (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff") has initiated the instant action to redress violations by Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. for violations of the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII" - (42 U.S.C.S. § 2000a et seq.) and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA" - 43 P.S. § 951 et seq.). As a direct consequence of Defendant's unlawful actions, Plaintiff seeks damages as set forth herein.

### II. Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This action is initiated pursuant to federal law, Title VII. This Court may properly maintain personal jurisdiction over Defendant because Defendant's contacts with this state and this judicial district are sufficient for the exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant to comply with

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Plaintiff plans to amend his instant complaint to include claims under the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA" - 43 P.S. §§ 951 *et. seq.*) if and when those claims become administrative exhausted with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission ("PHRC"). Plaintiff's claims under the PHRA would mirror his federal claims under Title VII as set forth herein.

traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice, satisfying the standard set forth by the United States Supreme Court in <u>International Shoe Co. v. Washington</u>, 326 U.S. 310 (1945) and its progeny.

- 2. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania has original subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the claims arise under laws of the United States. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims because they arise out of the same circumstances and are based upon a common nucleus of operative fact as Plaintiff's federal claims specified herein.
- 3. Venue is properly laid in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. sections 1391(b)(1) and (b)(2), because Defendant resides in and/or conducts business in this judicial district and because a substantial part of the acts and/or omissions giving rise to the claims set forth herein occurred in this judicial district.
- 4. Plaintiff exhausted his administrative remedies (with respect to his Title VII claims) because he timely filed Charges with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and now files the instant lawsuit within ninety (90) days of receiving a right-to-sue letter from the EEOC.

### III. Parties

- 5. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 6. Plaintiff is an adult, Muslim male with an address as set forth above.
- 7. Defendant Whole Foods Market Group, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant") owns and operates numerous food markets throughout the United States, including

the location in which Plaintiff worked. Defendant is headquartered at the above-captioned address.

8. At all times relevant herein, Defendant acted by and through its agents, servants, and employees, each of whom acted at all times relevant herein in the course and scope of their employment with and for the benefit of Defendant.

### IV. Factual Background

- 9. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 10. Plaintiff is an adult, Muslim male.
- In or about April of 2012, Plaintiff was hired by Defendant to work in its Glen
   Mills, PA location.
- 12. From in or about April of 2012 until in or about October of 2012, Plaintiff worked within the prepared foods department of Defendant.
- 13. In or about October of 2012, Plaintiff was transferred from the prepared foods department to the meat department and was supervised by different members of management than those who supervised him in the prepared food department.
  - 14. Due to Plaintiff's religion, Plaintiff prays five (5) times per day.
- 15. Due to the hours and work schedule that Plaintiff was required to work while employed with Defendant, Plaintiff needed to pray while he was at work.
- 16. Plaintiff prayed during his work hours without any issue or incident during the time period that he was working within the prepared food department.
- 17. When Plaintiff was transferred to the meat department in or about October of 2012, he informed his new management of his religion and his need to be able to pray for a few

moments throughout his shift due to his religious beliefs (a reasonable religious accommodation).

- 18. After informing management of his religion and need for a religious accommodation, Plaintiff's new management began to make discriminatory and derogatory comments about his religion and requests for a religious accommodation (i.e. to pray for a few moments throughout his shift).
- 19. The aforementioned discriminatory comments included but were not limited to telling Plaintiff that "this is not a mosque" and that "this is not the time or the place" (in reference to his need to pray while at work).
- 20. As a result of the discriminatory treatment that he was being subjected to (as discussed *supra*), Plaintiff complained to Defendant's upper management regarding Defendant's failure to accommodate his religious beliefs as well as the discriminatory treatment that he was receiving from his new management based on his religion and need for a religious accommodation.
- 21. Defendant's management never properly investigated nor resolved Plaintiff's concerns of religious discrimination and/or Defendant's failure to accommodate his religious beliefs.
- 22. Instead, shortly after making complaints of religious discrimination and Defendant's failure to accommodate his religious beliefs, Plaintiff began to be subjected to increased animosity and hostility by Defendant's management and in close proximity to Plaintiff last complaint of discrimination, Plaintiff was terminated.
  - 23. Plaintiff was terminated for completely false and pretextual reasons.

# Count I <u>Violations of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 ("Title VII")</u> ([1] Religious Discrimination; [2] Retaliation; [3] Failure to Accommodate)

- 24. The foregoing paragraphs are incorporated herein in their entirety as if set forth in full.
  - 25. Plaintiff is an adult, Muslim male.
- 26. During Plaintiff's employment in Defendant's meat department, Plaintiff was subjected to discriminatory conduct from his management based on his religion and/or because of his requests for reasonable religious accommodations (i.e. to be able to pray for a few moments a day throughout his shift).
- 27. Plaintiff complained to Defendant's management regarding Defendant's failure to accommodate his religious beliefs and the discriminatory treatment that he was being subjected to because of his religious beliefs.
- 28. Shortly following his complaints regarding religious discrimination and Defendant's failure to accommodate his religious beliefs (as discussed *supra*), Plaintiff was terminated for completely pretextual reasons.
- 29. Plaintiff was terminated because of his religion, his complaints of religious discrimination, and/or his requests for religious accommodations.
- 30. Defendant also failed to accommodate Plaintiff's religious beliefs because Defendant's management refused to allow Plaintiff to pray while at work.
- 31. These actions as aforesaid constitute violations of Title VII.

  WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that this Court enter an order providing that:
- A. Defendant is to be prohibited from continuing to maintain its illegal policy, practice, or custom(s) of discriminating against employees based on their religion and/or

retaliating against employees because of their complaints of religious discrimination/requests for religious accommodations, and are to be ordered to promulgate an effective policy against such discrimination/retaliation and to adhere thereto;

- B. Defendant is to compensate Plaintiff, reimburse Plaintiff, and make Plaintiff whole for any and all pay and benefits Plaintiff would have received had it not been for Defendant's illegal actions, including but not limited to back pay, front pay, salary, pay increases, bonuses, medical and other benefits, training, promotions, pension, and seniority. Plaintiff should be accorded those benefits illegally withheld from the date he first suffered the aforesaid unlawful actions at the hands of Defendant until the date of verdict (including reinstatement where appropriate);
- C. Plaintiff is to be awarded punitive damages under the ADA as permitted by applicable law, in an amount believed by the Court or trier of fact to be appropriate to punish Defendant for its wilful, deliberate, malicious and outrageous conduct, and to deter Defendant or other employers from engaging in such misconduct in the future;
- D. Plaintiff is to be accorded any and all other equitable and legal relief as the Court deems just, proper, and appropriate;
- E. Plaintiff is to be awarded the costs and expenses of this action and reasonable legal fees as provided by applicable federal and state law;
- F. Any verdict in favor of Plaintiff is to be molded by the Court to maximize the financial recovery available to Plaintiff in light of the caps on certain damages set forth in applicable federal law;

G. Plaintiff's claims are to receive a trial by jury to the extent allowed by applicable law. Plaintiff has also endorsed this demand on the caption of this Complaint in accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(b).

Respectfully submitted,

KARPF, KARPF, & CERUTTI, P.C.

By:

Ari R. Karpf 3331 Street Road

Two Greenwood Square, Suite 128

Bensalem, PA 19020 (215) 639-0801

Dated: June 13, 2014

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

### **CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM**

Telephone	FAX Numbe	r E-	Mail Address	
(215) 639-0801	(215) 639-4970	akarr	of@karpf-law.com	
U13/2014 Date	Ari R. Karpf Attorney-at-l	Plaint aw At	iff torney for	_
(f) Standard Management -	Cases that do not f	all into any one of the ot	her tracks.	()
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse smanagement cases.)	s complex and that i	need special or intense m	lanagement by f special	)
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for person	onal injury or property d	•	)
(c) Arbitration - Cases requ	nired to be designate	ed for arbitration under L	ocal Civil Rule 53.2.	)
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de			•	)
(a) Habeas Corpus - Cases	brought under 28 U	J.S.C. § 2241 through § 3	2255.	( )
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CAS	E MANAGEMENT TF	RACKS:	
In accordance with the Civplaintiff shall complete a Cifiling the complaint and service of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pato which that defendant believed.	ase Management I reve a copy on all defe event that a defend shall, with its first a arties, a Case Management	ack Designation Form in address. (See § 1:03 of the lant does not agree with appearance, submit to the gement Track Designation	all civil cases at the time e plan set forth on the reve the plaintiff regarding s	e of erse said
WHOLE FOOD MARKE		:	NO.	
v.		: :		
ROBERT GREENE		•	CIVILACION	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

### Case 2:14-cv-03535-SD Document 1 Filed 06/13/14 Page 9 of 10

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Address of Plaintiff: 659 E.Clementine Street, Philadelphia, PA 1	9134	
Address of Defendant: 550 Bowie Street, Austin, TX 78703		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Defendant's place of business		
(Use Reverse Side For	•	
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation	and any publicly held corporation owni	ng 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(		
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□	No X
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one	year previously terminated action in this	court?
	Yes□	No□
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previou	sly terminated
WONDER AND WOMEN	Yes□	№□
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier		
terminated action in this court?	Yes□	No□
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rigi		*
4. Is this case a second or successive haucas corpus, social security appear, or pro sectiviting	<u></u>	·· □
	Yes□	No□
CIVIL: (Place / in one category only)		
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Case	<b>s</b> ;
1.   Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. D Insurance Contract	and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. D Airplane Personal In	njury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. D Assault, Defamation	1
4. D Antitrust	4. D Marine Personal Inj	urv
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Pers	•
6. D Labor-Management Relations	6. Other Personal Injur	
7. 🕱 Civil Rights	7. Products Liability	
8.   Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos	
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases	
0. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)	
1. □ All other Federal Question Cases	(1 least specify)	
(Please specify)		•
A D DYCON CEDO	THEY CLA MICAN	
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate C		
, Art R. Karpt , counsel of record do hereby certi	fy:	
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and 150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	belief, the damages recoverable in this o	vivil action case exceed the sum of
□ Relief other than important damages is sought.		
1011214		- 40.4
DATE: UTIPOTITE TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT		2484
Attorney-at-Law  NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Atto re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 3	orney I.D.# 91538
certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	wittin one year previously terminated	action in this court
1011214		
ATE: U IT / IT	ARK	
Attorney-at-Law	Attor	ney I.D.# 91538

### Case 2:14-cv-03535-SD Document 1 Filed 06/13/14 Page 10 of 10

%JS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

#### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	mittat
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS
ROBERT GREEN	NE .	WHOLE FOODS MARKET GROUP, INC.
(b) County of Residen	ce of First Listed Plaintiff Philadelphia	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Travis
(c) Attorney's (Firm N	dame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Ad	dress)
Two Greenwood	erutti, P.C., 3331 Street Road, Square, Suite 128, Bensalem, 639-0801, akarpf@karpf-law.co	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.  Attorneys (If Known)
II. BASIS OF JURIS	DICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only)  PTF  DEF  Citizen of This State  One Box for Defendant)  PTF  DEF  Citizen of This State  One Box for Defendant)  PTF  DEF  Of Business In This State
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity  (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State
·		Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation 6 6
IV. NATURE OF SU	IT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	SESSENT SERVICE STATEMENT OF A SERVICE SERVICE STATEMENT OF A SERVICE
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgmen   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   210 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane Product Liability	
Original 🗖 2 Re	ate Court Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify) 6 Multidistrict Litigation 7 Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of cause:	re filing. (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): ct "Title VII" (42USC2000)  Pennsylvania Human Relations Act "PHRA"
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE	DOCKET NUMBER
U 13 20H		
DATE	SIGNATURE OI	F ATTORNEY OF RECORD

Print

Save As...

Export as PDF

Retrieve PDF File

Reset